

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/586,742	MARCHE ET AL.	
	Examiner Zachariah Lucas	Art Unit 1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ryan R. Brady.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 October 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

15, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Zachariah Lucas/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in condition for allowance (upon entry of the After-Final amendment of September 11, 2009), except that it was not clear if the anti-MSRV antibodies of claims 15 and 20 were publically available or if biological deposits regarding these antibodies was required. The Applicant agreed to the cancellation of the claims by Examiner's Amendment to bring the application into condition for allowance.